## Checklist for Chair of the Department P&T Committee (202 -202 ) 8 S G D W H202; Fall

- <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> During an initial planning meeting, the plowing discussions should take ace:
  - x A chair was elected during Spring 202 semester.chair should beable to complete and advance he Watermark workflow. If not, or if the chair changes, email faculty activity data@kennesaw.edu.
  - x Remind committeenembers that naintaining confidentiality is professionabbligation of all members. E-mails not a confidential medium, so confidential iscussions should not take placeby email. Confidentialities may beexchanged using OneDrive.
  - x Set up futuremeeting times and ates.
  - x Faculty members going up forreaview should not serve on the committee, and no person can participate in more than one stage to fereview process. (A candidatue nderreview for PTR can VHUYH GRIQ DWU KOOHP FILO RPHWEWHIFF DXVH WKH\ DUH QRW UHYLHZHG E FRPPL)WWHH
  - x DepartmenP&T committees, except follneKSU Library System, have minimum of three tenured teaching faculty members. Administrative ulty, as defined in Section 3.11 of the KSU Faculty Handbook, aneot eligible to serve on GepartmenP&T committees.
  - x Committeemembers fodepartmenP&T committees mustleat the same rank or higher than the rank that the candidates being evaluated.
  - x When there are not three qualified faculty in a department, the department the lect tenured faculty from outside the department serveon there view committee. Committee members elected from outside the department hould come from the same oclosely related disciplines or EHIDPLOLDU ZLWK WKH FDQGLGDWH V DUHDV RI H[SHUWE`0
  - 3/4 By September

D OHWWHU FRQWDLQLQJ WKH FRPPLWWHH¶V UHFRPPH statementof their assessmentof observed strengths and weaknesses is placed protifielio via the digital workflow. The faculty membereceives anemail notification via the system, and they can YLHZ WKH FRPPLWWHH¶V UHFRPPHQGDWLRQ OHWW-dtaty LQ WKH responsevindow begins.

- <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> During thetime frame of 1 R Y H P E H U ± ' Htheddminittele reviews pre-tenure portfolios for tenure-trackfaculty.
- <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> By 'HFHP E #Hetter containing adetailed assessment the candidate's currenteadines to be tenured, including adetailed description for ach area preformance that addresses how the candidate meets or does not meet the actual expectations for tenure, as well as specific suggestions for maintaining and enhancing of the preparations for auccessful enurereview in the future is placed in the ortfolio via the digital workflow. The letter must addresse ach area freview in detail. A copy of the letter is placed in the ortfolio via the digital workflow. The letter MLRQ YLD WKH V\VWHP DQG WK OHWWHU LQ WKH HOHFWURQLE av YEA YLD WKH V\VWHP DQG WK OHWWHU LQ WKH HOHFWURQLE av YEA YLD WKH V\VWHP PHPEHU ¶

## Committee Voting

<sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> Sincethe committeemustmakea recommendation (except pre-tenuræases)a voting member mustcast his ohervote unless there is a conflict of interest. When there a conflict of interest, a voting membermust disqualify himself/hersel prior to the discussion of hat portfolio and shall not be presenfor the discussion or ote on that case. Disputes regarding whet here on mittee member has a conflict of interest will be forwarded to Academic Affairs. All committee votes are to be ast by secret ballot. Potential ethical Q discretions during the cess may also be directed to Academic

## Checklist for Chair of the Department P&T Committee (202 -202 ) $8 S G D W H_2 G_2$ Fall

Affairs.

Optional Faculty Member Response

Within 10 calendar days from the review recommendation at each level (date advanced in the HOHFWURQLF V\VWHP WKH IDFXOW\ PHPEHU KDV WKH ULJKW recommendation and justifications by submitting a letter into the electronic workflow. This response will become part of the portfolio that will be forwarded to the subsequent levels of review. The response letter should address the interpretation of the information in the portfolio, but it should not include new evidence to be considered in the review process. The reviewer (committee or administrator) does not respond to this letter.

<u>Note</u>: Department P&T guidelines are understood to be primary in promotion and tenure recommendations. Recommendation letters need to reference department P&T guidelines in justifying their recommendations.